Convenient synthesis of cationic glycerolipids *via* methylthiomethyl ethers

Mikhail A. Maslov,* Nina G. Morozova and Galina A. Serebrennikova

M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State Academy of Fine Chemical Technology, 117571 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 095 434 8711; e-mail: maslov@httos.mitht.msk.ru

DOI: 10.1070/MC2000v010n02ABEH001211

Glycerol ether lipids containing various positively charged groups have been prepared via corresponding methylthiomethyl ethers.

The biological activity of positively charged non-phosphorus glycerolipids, which contain both various aliphatic residues in the hydrophobic domain and polar groups, is well known.\(^1\) Cationic lipids exhibit antitumor activity due to the inhibition of protein kinase C and diacylglycerokinase;\(^1\) they inhibit replication of the HIV-1 virus\(^1\) and are effective antagonists of the platelet activating factor.\(^1\).\(^2\) In the last decade different cationic lipids have been synthesised for the purpose of gene therapy.\(^3\).\(^4\) The genetic modification of somatic cells with cationic lipids is an alternative route to viral-mediated gene therapy and has some advantages such as safety and simplicity. Therefore, the preparation of new types of cationic lipids and the investigation of structure—activity relationships seems to be promising for bioorganic chemistry.

Although several approaches to the introduction of positively charged heads to lipids, which are based on the alkylation of either tertiary amines with 3-bromo-3-deoxy-,⁵⁻⁷ 3-*O*-methane-sulfonyl-⁷ and 3-*O*-toluenesulfonyl-substituted⁷ 1,2-dialkylgly-cerols or O-substituted 3-(dimethylamino)-1,2-propanediol with short-chain alkyl halides,^{4,8} are widely used, the yields of desirable products are low. This fact can be explained by the elimination of outgoing groups and by steric hindrances. In early reports,^{9,10} metylthiomethyl (MTM) ethers have been recommended as protective groups for the hydroxyl function because of their stability and selective cleavage under certain conditions. More recently, MTM ethers were employed in nucleoside¹¹ and lipid¹² chemistry. To increase the yields, we propose herein a convenient procedure for the preparation of positively charged glycerolipids *via* corresponding MTM ethers (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, DMSO-Ac₂O-AcOH, benzene, 24 °C, 2–5 days; ii, XH or X, dichloroethane, 24 °C, 10–30 min.

In the synthesis of compounds **2**, initial 1,2-dialkylglycerols **1** were treated with a mixture of DMSO, acetic anhydride and acetic acid (the molar ratio 6.5:3.4:1) as described previously,^{9,12} and the mixture was kept from two to five days. MTM ethers were purified using chromatography on silica gel. The yields were generally equal to 50–61%. The ¹H NMR spectra exhibited characteristic signals of MTM groups, *viz.*, singlets at 2.12–2.15 and 4.64–4.68 ppm for SMe and OCH₂S, respectively.

The treatment of MTM ethers (highly reactive asymmetric O,S-acetals) with bromine gives α -bromo ethers, which can be easily displaced with various nucleophiles. The reaction of MTM derivatives 2 with different secondary (XH) or tertiary (X) heterocyclic amines (Table 1) in the presence of bromine afforded cationic lipids 3–5 in 90–98% yields. The limitation of the proposed method was demonstrated with lipid 2c, whose allylic group can interact with bromine. The yields of compounds 3c and 4c were no higher than 45%.

Table 1 Synthesised compounds.

Compound	R	X	Y	Yield (%)
3a 3b 3c 3d	Me Et CH ₂ CH=CH ₂ C ₁₈ H ₃₇	-N $+N$ Me	Br-	97 97 45 96
4a 4b 4c	Me Et CH ₂ CH=CH ₂	- N Me	Br-	92 91 35
5a	$C_{18}H_{37}$	-N N		92
5b		N N		80

In a typical procedure, to a solution of MTM ether **2a–d** (0.1 mmol) in 1 ml of anhydrous dichloroethane, a corresponding amine (0.5 mmol) and, after 5 min, an excess of bromine (0.12–0.15 mmol) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10–30 min. After the removal of the organic solvent in a vacuum, volatile amine traces were additionally removed at a reduced pressure (0.5 Torr). The resulting lipid was purified by chromatography on silica gel.

¹H NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and elemental analysis data for all of the novel compounds are in accordance with the assigned structures.[†]

† **3a**: ¹H NMR (Bruker MSL-200, 200 MHz, CDCl₃, SiMe₄ as an internal standard) δ : 0.85 [t, 3H, (CH₂)₁₅CH₃, J 6.8 Hz], 1.22 [br. s, 30H, (CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.50 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.35–3.49 (m, 8H, OCH₂CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOMe, OMe), 3.68 (dd, 1H, J5.1 and 10.7 Hz) and 3.83 (dd, 1H, CH₂O, J 3.0 and 10.7 Hz), 4.10 (s, 3H, N+Me), 5.81 (dd, 2H, OCH₂N+, J 10.2 and 13.2 Hz), 7.29 and 7.44 (m, 2H, -CH=CH), 10.59 (m, 1H, -CH=N). MS (Vision 2000 time-of-flight mass spectrometer with matrix-assisted laser desortion ionization), m/z: 452.6, [M – Br]+.

3b: ¹H NMR, δ : 0.84 [t, 3H, (CH₂)₁₅CH₃, J 6.8 Hz], 1.14 (t, 3H, OCH₂CH₃, J 7.0 Hz), 1.21 [br. s, 30H, (CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.49 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.32–3.43 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇), 3.49–3.61 (m, 3H, CHOEt, OCH₂Me), 3.68 (dd, 1H, J 5.1 and 10.7 Hz) and 3.83 (dd, 1H, CH₂O, J 3.0 and 10.7 Hz), 4.10 (s, 3H, N+Me), 5.79 (dd, 2H, OCH₂N+, J 10.3 and 12.6 Hz), 7.36 and 7.46 (m, 2H, -CH=CH), 10.49 (m, 1H, -CH=N). MS, m/z: 466.4 [M – Br]+.

3c: ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR, δ : 0.85 [t, 3H, (CH₂)₁₅CH₃, J 6.8 Hz], 1.22 [br. s, 30H, (CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.50 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.35–3.50 (m, 5H, OCH₂CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOAll), 3.63–3.81 (m, 2H, CH₂O), 4.00 (m, 2H, OCH₂-CH=CH₂), 4.08 (s, 3H, N+Me), 5.19 (m, 2H, OCH₂-CH=CH₂), 5.80 (m, 3H, OCH₂N+, OCH₂CH=CH₂), 7.26 and 7.44 (m, 2H, -CH=CH), 10.61 (m, 1H, -CH=N). MS, m/z: 479.6 [M – Br]+.

3d: ¹H NMR, δ : 0.85 [t, 6H, 2(CH₂)₁₅C H_3 , J 6.4 Hz], 1.23 [br. s, 60H, 2(C H_2)₁₅Me], 1.50 (m, 4H, 2OCH₂C H_2), 3.34–3.57 (m, 7H, 2OC H_2 C H_2 , C H_2 OC $_{18}$ H $_{37}$, CHOC $_{18}$ H $_{37}$), 3.68 (dd, 1H, CH $_2$ O, J 5.2 and 10.0 Hz) and 3.79 (dd, 1H, CH $_2$ O, J 3.2 and 10.0 Hz), 4.09 (s, 3H, N⁺Me), 5.79 (dd, 2H, OCH $_2$ N⁺, J 10.2 and 14.5 Hz), 7.27 and 7.42 (m, 2H, –CH=CH), 10.69 (m, 1H, –CH=N). MS, m/z: 693.1 [M – Br]⁺.

4a: ¹H NMR, δ: 0.84 [t, 3H, (CH₂)₁₅CH₃, J 6.8 Hz], 1.22 [br. s, 30H, (CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.51 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.35–3.48 (m, 8H, OCH₂CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOMe, OMe), 3.52 (s, 3H, N⁺Me), 3.70 (m, 4H, 2NCH₂CH₂O), 4.00 (m, 5H, 2NCH₂CH₂O, CH₂O), 4.15 (dd, 1H, CH₂O, J 2.6 and 11.1 Hz), 5.35 (dd, 2H, OCH₂N⁺, J 7.9 and 20.7 Hz). MS, m/z: 471.8 [M – Br]⁺.

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 96-03-33383a).

4b: ¹H NMR, δ : 0.84 [t, 3H, $(CH_2)_{15}CH_3$, J 6.4 Hz], 1.16 (t, 3H, OCH₂CH₃, J 6.8 Hz), 1.22 [br. s, 30H, $(CH_2)_{15}Me$], 1.50 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.34–3.51 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂, $CH_2OC_{18}H_{37}$), 3.53 (s, 3H, N+Me), 3.58 (q, 1H, OCH₂Me, J 6.8 Hz), 3.60 (m, 1H, CHOEt), 3.62 (q, 1H, OCH₂Me, J 6.8 Hz), 3.71 (m, 4H, 2NCH₂CH₂O), 4.00 (m, 5H, 2NCH₂CH₂O, CH₂O), 4.13 (dd, 1H, CH₂O, J 3.0 and 10.7 Hz), 5.35 (dd, 2H, OCH₂N+, J 8.1 and 20.9 Hz). MS, m/z: 485.8 [M – Br]+.

4c: ¹H NMR, δ: 0.86 [t, 3H, (CH₂)₁₅CH₃, *J* 6.5 Hz], 1.22 [br. s, 30H, (CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.50 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 3.35–3.53 (m, 8H, OCH₂CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOAll, N⁺Me), 3.71 (m, 4H, 2NCH₂CH₂O), 3.92–4.17 (m, 8H, 2NCH₂CH₂O, OCH₂CH=CH₂, CH₂O), 5.20–5.49 (m, 3H, OCH₂N⁺, OCH₂CH=CH₂), 5.80 (m, 1H, OCH₂CH=CH₂). MS, *m/z*: 497.8 [M – Br]⁺.

5a: ¹H NMR, δ: 0.85 [t, 6H, $2(CH_2)_{15}CH_3$, J 6.8 Hz], 1.23 [br. s, 60 H, $2(CH_2)_{15}$ Me], 1.51 (m, 4H, $2OCH_2CH_2$), 3.34–3.56 (m, 9H, $2OCH_2$ –CH₂, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOC₁₈H₃₇, CH₂O), 5.34 (br. s, 2H, OCH₂N), 7.06 and 7.11 (m, 2H, –CH=CH), 7.74 (m, 1H, –CH=N). MS, m/z: 678.0 [M]+, 699.8 [M + Na]+.

5b: ¹H NMR, δ: 0.86 [t, 6H, 2(CH₂)₁₅CH₃, J 6.8 Hz], 1.23 [br. s, 60 H, 2(CH₂)₁₅Me], 1.52 (m, 4H, 2OCH₂CH₂), 3.28 (br. t, 4H, 2OCH₂CH₂, J 6.8 Hz), 3.35–3.55 (m, 5H, CH₂OC₁₈H₃₇, CHOC₁₈H₃₇, CH₂O), 5.59 (br. s, 2H, OCH₂N), 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.53 (m, 2H) and 7.99 (m, 1H, benzimidazole). MS, m/z: 726.9 [M]⁺, 748.9 [M + Na]⁺.

References

- I. D. Konstantinova and G. A Serebrennikova, *Usp. Khim.*, 1996, 65, 581 (*Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 1996, 65, 537).
- 2 M. Koltai and P. G. Braquet, Clin. Rev. Allergy, 1994, 12, 361.
- 3 D. D. Lasic, Liposomes in Gene Delivery, CRC Press, New York, 1997.
- 4 A. D. Miller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1998, 37, 1768.
- 5 S. L. Morris-Natschke, F. Gumus, C. J. Marasco, K. L. Meyer, M. Marx, C. Piantadosi, M. D. Layne and E. J. Modest, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1993, 36, 2018.
- 6 T. Ren and D. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 209.
- 7 M. A. Maslov, E. V. Siycheva, N. G. Morozova and G. A. Serebrennikova, Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim., 1999, 1381 (Russ. Chem. Bull., 1999, 48, 1369).
- 8 J. H. Felgner, R. Kumar, C. N. Sridhar, C. J. Wheeler, Y. J. Tsai, R. Border, P. Ramsey, M. Martin and P. L. Felgner, J. Biol. Chem., 1994, 269, 2550.
- 9 P. M. Pojer and S. J. Angyal, Aust. J. Chem., 1978, 31, 1031.
- 10 K. Suzuki, J. Inanaga and M. Yamaguchi, Chem. Lett., 1979, 1277.
- 11 S. Zavgorodny, M. Polianski, E. Besidsky, V. Kriukov, A. Sanin, M. Pokrovskaya, G. Gurskaya, H. Lonnberg and A. Azhaev, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, 32, 7593.
- 12 I. D. Konstantinova, S. G. Zavgorodny, A. I. Miroshnikov, I. P. Ushakova and G. A. Serebrennikova, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 1995, 21, 66 (*Russ. J. Bioorg. Chem.*, 1995, 21, 58).

Received: 6th October 1999; Com. 99/1539